



Donald Reeb <donreeb@gmail.com>

Fw: The proposed Stuyvesant Sign

1 message

Chuck Klaer <cklaer1@nycap.rr.com>

Mon, Jan 9, 2012 at 3:21 PM

Reply-To: Chuck Klaer <cklaer1@nycap.rr.com>

To: Donald Reeb <donreeb@gmail.com>

I need to be careful not to destroy Peter's trust.

I made the get a lawyer suggestion before I spoke to Peter and before our e-mail exchanges.

As an alternative to getting a lawyer, based on Peter's and my e-mail exchanges it might make sense to create an overly broad list including everything but the kitchen sink, a list of reasons to disapprove the application. Give the list to Jim Sumner perhaps even supported by a script which he will use to "ask" Peter and Janet to help him draft a sufficiently complete and legally sustainable motion of disapproval.

It is not clear whether Peter would require a second....to allow discussion and amendment... before or after a draft amendment is prepared. The process might amend out a reason or three from the list prior to being put to a vote.

I don't believe Peter and Janet would intentionally draft a motion that could be legally challenged. One drafted by Henner might not be.

I personally like this plan because it might embolden ZBA members to come to a ZBA meeting with a list in the future knowing that they don't have to think up a motion on their feet if Peter proposes something they are uncomfortable voting for but too shy about making a fool of themselves.

Lets have lunch when you get back and are able to deal with this issue.