

Current Folder: **INBOX**[Sign Out](#)[Compose](#) [Addresses](#) [Folders](#) [Options](#) [Search](#) [Help](#)[SquirrelMail](#)[Message List](#) | [Delete](#)[Previous](#) | [Next](#)[Forward](#) | [Forward as Attachment](#) | [Reply](#) | [Reply All](#)

**Subject:** Re: Meet the candidates night  
**From:** "Doug Smith" <DSmith161@nycap.rr.com>  
**Date:** Mon, October 10, 2005 8:17 pm  
**To:** dreeb@albany.edu  
**Priority:** Normal  
**Options:** [View Full Header](#) | [View Printable Version](#) | [Bounce](#)

Don -

Fliers are delivered, but I'm sorry to say I won't be able to make this meeting. Although services end at around 7:30 on Thursday, they have a break-the-fast spread at our temple after the service and so we typically don't get back to the house until 8:30 or so.

Grill them with lot's of tough questions.

There is one question in particular I was hoping someone would ask of board candidate Ed Glenning. In his campaign literature he cites "Create More Open Space" as being one of his 'ideas', but then he follows that bullet with the following confused explanation:

"Open spaces and land preservation cost money and remove land from the tax rolls. If we don't grow our economy to support what we want, tax increases are inevitable."

Somehow those words don't strike as the words of a true open space advocate. I'd like him to clarify his position on 'Creating More Open Space'.

- Is it a priority for him?
- What would he do to change the way the 'open space' issue is addressed in Guilderland?
- Which does he think ends up costing tax payers more over all - developed space or open space?

----- Original Message -----

From: <dreeb@albany.edu>  
 To: "Doug Smith" <DSmith161@nycap.rr.com>  
 Sent: Friday, October 07, 2005 8:07 PM  
 Subject: Thanks---Re: Meet the candidates format--what do you think?

- > This election the Dems have 6 candidates and the Repubs only 3---so it is
- > going to be unbalanced but that is just the way it is.
- >
- > Are you going to be there--can you do the 30 second warning sign and the
- > time clock?
- >
- > Thanks.
- >
- > Don
- >
- >> Summary time for town board candidates should be two minutes, not three -
- >> same as with Supervisor and 'other' candidates.
- >>
- >> Otherwise looks reasonable.
- >>
- >> ----- Original Message -----