Current Folder: **McKownville**   **Sign Out**
Compose Addresses Folders Options Search Help   SquirrelMail

Message List | Delete   Previous | Next   Forward | Forward as Attachment | Reply | Reply All

Subject: Re: [Fwd: New exit 24 'concept']
From: "Doug & Carol" <McKown5@softhome.net>
Date: Sun, August 22, 2004 2:39 pm
To: "David Knight" <knight@atmos.albany.edu>
Cc: "Don Reeb" <dreeb@albany.edu>
Priority: Normal
Options: View Full Header | View Printable Version

David -

Thanks for the feedback. I understand exactly what you're saying - it seems
a lot of trouble to go through (the mods to allow I-90 east and Fuller
bypass from the new exit) and if it were up to me I wouldn't bother.

But when I realized what the engineers were up to in stipulating a two lane
Western Avenue flyover as part of the barrier concepts I realized that I
needed to show that there was another way to accomplish the same thing.

Their objective is to get all of the northbound exiting traffic out of the
plaza to eliminate the weaving issues with traffic exiting from the west.
So I wanted to illustrate another way of doing that without a Western Avenue
flyover. And I wanted to show that this approach would be superior to the
Western Avenue flyover approach in that it would continue to allow
northbound traffic to get to Western Avenue - something the Western Avenue
flyover approach eliminates.

If the Authority says that the 'weaving' in the plaza is not such a big deal
after all then I'd be fine sticking with concept II as it is drawn.

Take Address

Subject: Re: [Fwd: New exit 24 'concept']
From: "David Knight" <knight@atmos.albany.edu>
Date: Sun, August 22, 2004 12:19 pm
To: dreeb@albany.edu
Cc: kquinn1@nycap.rr.com (more)
Priority: Normal
Options: View Full Header | View Printable Version

```
Hi Don, et al.,
     The two barrier concept, along with the H concept
for exit 24, has always been my favorite. At first glance
Doug's thoughts about the redesign of the H concept seem
reasonable (though I am a little concerned about the
complexity of his additions to the northbound thruway
exit - it might almost be easier to let Western Ave and
90 East traffic continue to exit where they had planned
except not have the toll barriers, but that may introduce
a weaving problem - have to give this more thought, or
let their traffic engineers figure out this detail)
     The bottom line is that in my opinion a combination
of the two barrier and exit 24 H concepts is the best solution
for everybody. I told them so at the public meeting.
I think we should let them know this again in case
they have not figured it out for themselves yet...
Thanks
David

> Hi
>
> Doug has done a great job--please read it and advise as to what to do--my
> thinking is to send an email version to the Thruway people and post office
> copies to each of the advisory board memebrs--and of course the
> McKownvilel members we have email addresses for--which is about 40 people.
>
> Don Reeb
>
> ------------------------------ Original Message -----------------------------
> Subject: New exit 24 'concept'
> From:    "Doug & Carol" <McKown5@softhome.net>
> Date:    Sat, August 21, 2004 4:36 pm
> To:      "Don Reeb" <dreeb@albany.edu>
> ----------------------------------------------------------------------------
>
> Don -
>
> I'm sorry to keep hammering you with these tomes, but I feel as though we
> need to act soon if we want to have any positive impact on the Authorities
> decision.
>
> I'm very glad that you raised the banner for the 'barrier' concept because
> I think it does represent a conceptual breakthrough.  But as a result I've
> been a bit consumed by it because I'm afraid we may miss the chance to
> take advantage of it.
>
> So attached is where my thinking has taken me.  Do you think the board is
> ready to consume this?  I know I've been throwing a lot at everyone lately
> and I don't want to burn people out.  But my feeling is that we need to
> aggressively push for what we want, not just say what we don't want.
>
> Let me know you thoughts.  Thanks for your patience.
>
> Doug
>
>
```